Review Article

A short term review of Pipkin fractures type I treated surgically

NVSR Krishna¹, Ch Prathyush¹,*, P Prathap¹
¹Dept. of Orthopaedics, Lalitha Super Specialty Hospital, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

Background: head of femur fractures along with a hip dislocation is a rare & most probably has a poor outcome.

Materials and Methods: In the taken period of 1 yr, we came across nearly twenty cases of Pipkin fracture type I. Through Medical and radiographs evaluation was done and recorded. The followup was done for these selected patients for a period of 12 months. Merle d’Aubigne-Postel score was the score used and recorded the functional outcome of each patient in the study and analyzed.

Results: the cases selected were Pipkin type 1 fracture with dislocation. The time interval between the incident and the successful reduction in the hospital was about 5.6 hrs avg among all the cases. The outcome of the surgically treated cases 8 of them out of 10 showed excellent results clinically and functionally. No complications like AVN and HO or neuro vascular injury was noted in any cases. In our study surgically treated cases showed better results than treated conservatively.

Conclusion: Anatomic reduction is must with marginal soft tissue injury. This type I Pipkin fracture better treat surgically than conservative.
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1. Introduction

This type of fractures are generally very rare and most probably has a poor functional outcome.¹ Keely & Lipscomb stated that this type of fracture with is 0.000002/yr.² This is one of the emergencies in the orthopaedics and the approach should be very divisive, relocation of the joint must be done as soon as possible under sedation with proper relaxation of muscles to avoid further complications. Immediately after relocation proper, assessment must be done to find any fractures associating to the acetabulum, amount of reduction achieved & any fragments in the joint³ after proper evaluation if all the fragments are in anatomical position it is advised to give conservative treatment in such cases.⁴ If relocation of the joint is not successful then open reduction and internal fixation should be done as early as possible

2. Materials and Methods

In the taken span of one year between January 2019 to January 2020, we had TEN type I Pipkin femoral head fractures. Assessment of TEN patients was done and admitted. All Data of the patients were collected and evaluated radiologically. The follow up was done in all the patients for a period of 12 months classification of Pipkin femoral head fractures type I – Which include only non weight bearing area of the femoral head, type II – this type affects the weight bearing part of the fracture, type III – this may include both type I or II with neck of femur fractures & type IV this includes type I or type II associated with acetabular fracture most commonly effected is fracture of the posterior wall.⁵ The evaluation was done using the Merle d’Aubigne-Postel score.⁶ The hip is calculated with this score in three main that’s : pain, mobility, and weight bearing, each scores from 0-6 points where 6 is the maximum. Excellent means all three combined score has 18 points, range between 15–17 points is classifed as good,
range between 12-14 points is fair, and that of score <12 points poor shows outcome.

In the cases selected female cases are two, where as male cases were eight. This shows most predominance in males the average age in the individual of the study ranged between 30-59 years, This was not taken into consideration. The main reason for injury is Road Traffic accidents. Reduction of the dislocation of the hip performed short general anesthesia in all the patient as early as possible after admission and all were closed reductions. Ten of the twenty types I Pipkin fracture was treated with open reduction and internal fixation of the fragment with 2 Herbert screws by Smith-Peterson approach (Figure 2), and the rest of the ten were treated conservatively. The total outcome was superb in eight cases treated surgically. One in surgical treated case developed Heterotopic ossifications due to unorthodox massaging done at the village. All the cases were inspected for the range of moments of the hip from the 1st month of visit permitted partial weight-bearing for surgically treated cases and which was with less pain in surgically treated than the people with conservative treatment. The range of moments in all the surgically treated cases was flexion avg about 85 and only 1 developed limp which is very minimal the abduction is about 20 in all the surgically treated cases and which is a significantly good result than the conservative management.

3. Discussion

Time between dislocation & relocation is the key for the best outcome. Epstein et al. indicated reducing as early as possible gives excellent results than reducing lately. Individuals with Pipkin fractures type I can treated conservatively and surgically depending of the choice of the surgeon. If the fragment is large then open reduction and internal fixation provides good to excellent results.
outcome. The size of the fragment, and position of the fractured fragment also affects the outcome post-operatively. If involving the weight bearing portion the anatomical reduction is the best method to get good outcome. Lin et al. showed the value of time for the reduction of the larger fragments and also indicated that surgical treatment should be done to get good out comes. Surgical excision of small fragments after closed reduction is some what useful in treating some fracture of type I Pipkin fractures. Chakraborti & Butler even if the treatment is hard and time taking conservative is the best treatment for this type of fracture. In some studies Kocher-Langenbeck, in few the Smith-Peterson approach and in few closed reduction and percutaneous fixation can be done for this type of fracture.

4. Conclusion

The fragments should be reduced anatomically that’s the main aim of the surgical intervension with minimal soft tissue injury. No matter the treatment strategy all the patients may develop limp, and in a long-standing study, we can expect the outcome as good in conservative management too which depends mainly on the condition of the patient, severity of the injury, any neurovascular injuries, injury to cartilage, and timing between dislocation and relocation. In our study, it’s clear that treating this type I fracture surgically showed better results than the cases treated conservatively in all aspects of early mobilization to the range of moments & further more time has to be taken to find out the long term results.
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