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Abstract
Mirror movements (MMs) are seen in the dominant hand DH or (right hand RH) in writer’s Cramp (WC) patients when ascribing with innate and intrinsic original dystonic movement during ascribing with the DH (concordant) or in the opposite direction (discordant). The aim of the scientific investigation is to distinguish differentiate between those with concordant (C) and discordant (D) MMs in WC, in order to establish that there is a quantifiable difference between these two groups and to design and fabricate a multi-channel EMG system.

Keywords: Mirror movements, Writer’s cramp, Right hand, Dominant hand, Left hand, Concordant, Discordant

Introduction

Historical perspective of dystonia and writer’s cramp

Generalized dystonia
One of the earliest descriptions of dystonia was provided by Gower’s in 1888, who coined the term tetanoid chorea to describe the movement disorder in two siblings, later found to have Wilson’s disease.3

Three years prior to Oppenheim, Marcus Walter Schwalbe described a family of three siblings with a similar disease, which he described as chronic cramp syndrome with hysterical symptoms and considered it to be a psychogenic disorder.4

In 1911, Hermann Oppenheim5 and George Theodor Ziehen published almost simultaneous reports6 describing primary torsion dystonia. Oppenheim was the first to coin the terms ‘dysbasia lordotica progressiva dystonia: musculorum deformans’, and for describing its ‘dromedary gait’.

But the terms were criticized as fluctuating muscle tone was not necessarily characteristic of the disorder, the term musculorum incorrectly implied that the involuntary movement was due to a muscle disorder, and not all patients became deformed. Herz et al with the help of electromyographic recordings defined the disorder as slow sustained postures. Finally in 1984, an ad hoc committee of Dystonia Medical research foundation gave the widely acceptable present definition.2

Writer’s cramp
Writer’s cramp is one of the commonest focal dystonias and was described 200 years before primary torsion dystonia. One of the earliest references dates back to 1713, when Ramazinni described it in his book De Morbis Artificum “An acquaintance of mine, a notary by profession, still living, used to spend his whole life continually engaged in writing, and he made a good deal of money from it; first he began to complain of intense fatigue in the whole arm, but no remedy could relieve this, and finally the whole right arm became completely paralyzed. In order to offset this infirmity he began to train himself to write with the left hand, but it was not very long before it too was attacked by the same malady.”7

Later it was described by Bell and Bruck in 1831 as scrivener’s palsy. With the onset of the Victorian era at that time, London’s commercial centre created a large number of scriveners who were responsible for copying documents by hand using a quill firmly and some of them developed ‘scrivener’s palsy’ which initially disabled writing and later affected other tasks.8 However until 1930s it was considered to be a psychological disease, called as occupational neurosis by Gowers.9 It was only in the later in the twentieth century that a neurological basis was considered after Collier and Adi first suggested abnormalities of basal ganglia as the underlying pathophysiology.10 Even in the later half of the twentieth century many neurologists including Sir John Walton considered writer’s cramp to be of psychogenic origin as described in the ninth edition of Brain’s disease of the nervous system- “In my experience when even subtle physical signs are absent in the many ‘simple’ (Writer’s cramp) cases that I have seen and neither other focal dystonias nor any other organic disorders could in my view impair movements only when they take part in one co-coordinated act while leaving totally unaffected all other precise and complex voluntary actions involving the affected member”.11 Writer’s cramp were first recognized to share common features with and was included in the group of focal dystonias by Marsden and Sheehy. They also further classified writer’s cramp into simple and dystonic writer’s cramp,12 With the, advent of various more sophisticated imaging signal modalities the organic nature of writer’s cramp is no more in doubt.


Classification of Dystonia
Classifications of dystonia can be based on
A. Topographic distribution
B. Age at onset
C. Cause
D. Genetics.13-17

A. Topographical
Dystonia can be classified as focal (single region), segmental (2 or more regions), multifocal (2 or more non adjacent regions) or generalized (leg or legs, trunk and one other region) or hemidystonia (ipsilateral arm and leg), based on the region involved.14

Writer’s cramp is a task specific focal dystonia.
B. Age at onset determines the distribution of dystonia, childhood onset dystonia usually are generalized whereas adult onset dystonia (> 26 years) usually remain localized or segmental.

C. The etiologic classification divides dystonia into primary dystonia, secondary dystonia (secondary to an underlying cause –for example Wilson’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, corticobasal degeneration etc) dystonia-plus syndromes (Dopa responsive dystonia, Rapid onset dystonia parkinsonism and dystonia-myoclonus syndrome), and paroxysmal dystonia

D. Genetic classification of dystonia is based on the loci of genes involved. Dystonia loci DYT1 through DYT15 include autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive and X-linked causes of primary dystonia and dystonia-plus syndromes, among which DYT 7 gene is associated with adult onset focal dystonia including writer’s cramp with AD inheritance and gene defect on chromosome 8p13.

Epidemiology of dystonia and writer’s cramp
Prevalence rate of generalized dystonia and focal dystonia according to various studies18-31 varies from 0.17 to 5 per 100,000 population and 3 to 732 per 100,000 populations respectively. (Table 1)

Table 1: Prevalence rate of generalized and focal dystonia in various countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Prevalence rate –per 100000, Generalized</th>
<th>Focal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Nutt et al</td>
<td>1952-1980</td>
<td>Record linkage</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>ESDE</td>
<td>1990-1997</td>
<td>Service-based</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(&gt;20 yr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Catselon-Konkiewitz et al.</td>
<td>1996-1997</td>
<td>Service-based</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Muller et al</td>
<td>2000-2002</td>
<td>Random sample</td>
<td>732</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(&gt;50 yr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Defazio et al.</td>
<td>1987-99</td>
<td>Service-based</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Khank-Dung et al.</td>
<td>1999-2002</td>
<td>Service-based</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. England</td>
<td>Duffey et al.</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>12.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>Pekmezovic et al.</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Service-based</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Korczyn et al.</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.96 (Jews)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.17 (non-Jews)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Li et al.</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Door-to-door</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Nakashima et al.</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Service-based</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Matsumoto et al.</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Service-based</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Kandil et al.</td>
<td>1988-90</td>
<td>Door-to-door</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a community based study from Kolkata, by Das et al.,32 crude prevalence rate of primary dystonia was 53.91 per 100,000 population and that of focal dystonia varied according to the type of dystonia.

Table 2: Prevalence rates of various focal dystonia in India (Das et al32)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of dystonia</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Sex ratio</th>
<th>Age of onset men</th>
<th>Age of onset women</th>
<th>Crude prevalence rate per 100000</th>
<th>Standardized rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blepharospasm</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0:1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57.6 (52-63)</td>
<td>5.72 (1.18-16.72)</td>
<td>7.22 (1.49-21.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical dystoniaa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.81 (0.46-13.75)</td>
<td>3.96 (0.48-14.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writer's cramp</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.5:1</td>
<td>41.1 (14-60)</td>
<td>31 (17-45)</td>
<td>21.00 (10.48-37.57)</td>
<td>21.14 (10.55-37.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing tremor</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.5:1</td>
<td>62.6 (48-75)</td>
<td>36.5 (11-62)</td>
<td>13.35 (5.35-27.50)</td>
<td>14.85 (5.95-30.59)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In contrast to studies from North America and Europe\(^\text{18,19}\) where blepharospasm and cervical dystonia were the most prevalent focal dystonia, among Indians writers cramp was the commonest type of focal dystonia. Many authors have expressed that as other focal dystonia are more disabling and disfiguring compared to writer’s cramp, those patients were more likely to seek medical attention and the prevalence of writer’s cramp may be much higher in the community.\(^\text{32}\)

Among patients with writer’s cramp the onset was seen between the third and fifth decade with slight male preponderance and male: female ratio of 1.3:1\(^\text{19}\).

**Classification of writer’s cramp**
Sheehy and Marsden classified writer’s cramp into three types\(^\text{12}\):

- **Simple**: Dystonic posturing of hand and arm is seen only during writing.
- **Progressive**: Initially dystonia occurs only during writing later progresses to involve other tasks.
- **Dystonic**: Dystonia occurs during other specific tasks such as shaving, typing, brushing and with writing since the onset of disease.

Simple writer’s cramp is the commonest type followed by progressive and dystonic types.

**Etiology**
Most cases are idiopathic
Approximately 5% of patients have a positive family history of a similar condition. Patients with DYTI gene mutation may initially present with writer’s cramp before developing generalized dystonia and may have a history of writer’s cramp among their family members.

Not very frequently, around five percent report an accident or injury to the hand or arm immediately preceding the onset of symptoms.\(^\text{33}\) Writer’s cramp frequently affects persons who write a great deal or perform other repetitive hand movements such as typing. However in a study by Jedyanak et al in 2001, less than fifty percent (<50%) of their patients with writer’s cramp gave a history of intensive writing before dystonia onset and they did not find a correlation between the estimate of writing hours and the age of onset. They also noted that prolonged rest from writing did not result in remission. They noted that in some patients dystonia developed on writing at a fast pace in an uncomfortable position.\(^\text{34}\) Hence, the most likely scenario is that, like most diseases, writer’s cramp is a product of a genetic background and an environmental insult. That is, writer’s cramp develops with excessive writing only in those persons who are genetically predisposed. Rare associations have been reported, including C6 ruptured disk, lithium use, basal ganglia or cortical tumors, arteriovenous malformations (AVMs), and stroke, but their role in causing dystonia is still unknown.

**Pathophysiology**

**Abnormal electrophysiological activation**
Cohen and Hallet observed abnormal EMG pattern in 19 patients with hand dystonia. They exhibited excessive co-contraction of agonists and antagonist muscles with prolongation of EMG bursts. In healthy individuals while the EMG bursts lasted for 100 milli-seconds, they lasted for 200-300 ms in patients with dystonia. There was occasional failure of willed activity to occur and there was lack of selectivity in attempts to perform independent finger movements.\(^\text{35}\) The finding of abnormal co-contraction of agonists and antagonists is the underlying feature of all dystonia and suggests abnormal motor control and muscle selection by the basal ganglia.\(^\text{36}\)

The exact pathophysiology of dystonia is still unclear. There are three proposed mechanisms –loss of inhibition, abnormal plasticity and abnormal sensory activation, which individually or together has been noted in dystonia.

**Loss of inhibition**
A principal finding in focal dystonia is that of loss of inhibition.\(^\text{37}\) The abnormally long bursts of EMG activity, co-contraction of antagonist muscles, and overflow of activity into muscles not intended for the task may be explained by the loss of inhibition.\(^\text{35}\)

Various studies have demonstrated loss of inhibition at spinal, brainstem and cortical level.

**Spinal and brainstem reflexes**
A study by Nakashima et al recorded reciprocal inhibition between forearm muscles in 16 patients with writer’s cramp, other occupational cramps, hemidystonia and hemiparesis due to stroke and 10 healthy controls. In this study, early disynaptic phase of reciprocal inhibition was normal but there was a reduction in later presynaptic inhibition in writer’s cramp patients.\(^\text{38}\) Panizza et al studied H reflex recovery curve and reciprocal inhibition in different dystonias and found a decrease in the amount of reciprocal inhibition among patients with writer’s cramp.\(^\text{39}\)

Similarly in other focal dystonia like blepharospasm, abnormalities of blink reflex recovery have been demonstrated.\(^\text{39}\) Loss of reciprocal inhibition can be partly responsible for presence of co-contraction of antagonist muscles that characterizes voluntary movement in dystonia.

**Motor cortical functioning**
Loss of inhibition has also been demonstrated for motor cortical function via studies on short intracortical inhibition, long intracortical inhibition, and the silent period.

**Short intracortical inhibition**
Using transcranial magnetic stimulation, short intracortical inhibition (SICI) is obtained with paired pulse methods and reflects interneuron influences in the cortex.\(^\text{41}\) In such studies, an initial conditioning stimulus is given, enough to activate cortical neurons, but small enough that no descending influence on the spinal cord can be detected. A second test stimulus, at suprathreshold level, follows at short interval. Intracortical influences initiated by the conditioning stimulus modulate the amplitude of the motor evoked potential (MEP) produced by the test stimulus. At short intervals, less than 5 ms, there is inhibition that is largely a GABAergic effect, mediated via GABA-A receptors called short intracortical
inhibition or SICI. At intervals between 8 and 30 ms, there is facilitated, called intracortical facilitation, (ICF).

In studies on patients with focal hand dystonia, there was a loss of SICI which was seen in both hemispheres.

**Long intracortical inhibition**

Intracortical inhibition can also be assessed with paired suprathreshold TMS pulses at intervals from 50 to 200 mSec. This is called long intracortical inhibition, or LICI. LICI and SICI differ in that on increasing test pulse strength, LICI decreases but SICI tends to increase, and LICI is mediated via GABA-B receptors. Chen, Wassermann, Caños, and Hallett (1997) investigated long intracortical inhibition in patients with writer’s cramp and found a deficiency only in the symptomatic hand and only with background contraction. This abnormality is particularly interesting as it is restricted to the symptomatic setting, and therefore might be a correlate of the development of the task specific dystonia.

**Silent period**

The silent period (SP) is a pause in ongoing voluntary EMG activity produced by TMS. While the first part of the SP is due in part to spinal cord refractoriness, the latter part is entirely due to cortical inhibition. This type of inhibition is likely mediated by GABA-B receptors and is shortened in focal dystonia.

**Surround inhibition**

The concept of “surround inhibition” is a well known phenomenon in sensory physiology and probably applies to the motor system also.

During a specific movement it is likely that the specific movement is generated, and, simultaneously, other possible movements are suppressed thus is ‘surround inhibition’. In dystonia, a failure of surround inhibition may be responsible for the overflow movements.

Evidence from studies supports the principle of surround inhibition in motor activity. Sohn, Jung, Kaelin-Lang, and Hallett (2003) have shown that with movement of one finger there is widespread inhibition of muscles in the contra-lateral limb. Significant suppression of MEP amplitudes was observed when TMS was applied between 35 and 70 ms after EMG onset. Sohn et al. have also noted that there is some inhibition of muscles in the ipsilateral limb when those muscles are not involved in any way in the movement. In a study when TMS was delivered to the left motor cortex 3 to 1000 ms after EMG onset in the flexor digitorum superficialis muscle, MEPs from abductor digiti minimi were slightly suppressed during the movement of the index finger in normal individuals with increased F-wave amplitude and persistence, indicating that cortical excitability is reduced. But in patients with focal hand dystonia. MEPs were enhanced in both flexor digitorum superficialis and abductor digiti minimi muscles, indicating a failure of surround inhibition.

Using another experimental paradigm, Stinear and Byblow have also demonstrated a loss of surround inhibition in the hand in patients with focal dystonia.

**Abnormal plasticity**

The possibility of increased plasticity in dystonia had been suspected for some time given that repetitive activity over long periods seems to be a trigger for its development. An animal model supported this idea. Monkeys were trained to hold a vibrating manipulandum for long periods. After some time, they became unable to do so, and this motor control abnormality was interpreted as a possible dystonia. The sensory cortex of these animals was studied, and sensory receptive fields were found to be large and it was concluded that the synchronous sensory input caused the receptive field enlargement, which then led to abnormal motor function.

Similar mechanism has been proposed in humans with dystonia and studies have demonstrated an abnormal plasticity of the motor cortex in patients with focal hand dystonia.

**Paired associative stimulation**

In paired associative stimulation (PAS), a median nerve shock is paired with a TMS pulse to the sensorimotor cortex. The TMS pulse is timed to be immediately after the arrival of the sensory volley. This intervention increases the amplitude of the MEP produced by TMS to the motor cortex. It has been demonstrated that the process of PAS produces motor learning similar to long-term potentiation (LTP). In patients with dystonia, PAS produces a larger increase in the MEP than what is seen in normal participants.

Another aspect of the abnormal plasticity has recently been identified. Not only is the plasticity increased, but there is a failure of its homeostatic property. The homeostatic property is that plasticity ordinarily increases and decreases within bounds. If, for example, the excitability of the motor cortex is high, then it cannot be driven higher, only lower. The recent finding, using several types of brain stimulation, is that plasticity in dystonia may not be properly bounded and may increase abnormally.

Increased plasticity may be an important link in demonstrating how environmental influences can trigger dystonia.

**Abnormal sensory function**

Stimulated by the findings of sensory dysfunction in the primate model, investigators began examining sensory function in patients with focal hand dystonia and found it to be abnormal. Although there is no apparent sensory loss on a clinical level, detailed testing of spatial and temporal discrimination revealed subtle impairments. The abnormality was present on both hands of patients with unilateral hand dystonia and also on hands of patients with cervical dystonia and blepharospasm. The identification of abnormality of sensation beyond the symptomatic body parts indicated that the sensory abnormality was more likely to be a pre-existing physiological state rather than a learned act.
Sensory dysfunction has also been demonstrated with somatosensory evoked potential (SEP) testing which evaluates the integrity of the sensory pathway from the sensory ganglion to the cortex. The dipole of the N20 from stimulation of individual fingers showed disordered representation in the primary sensory cortex. and these abnormalities were present on both hands of patients with focal hand dystonia.

PET studies have shown that the sensory cortex is more activated than normal with writing and the activity correlated with the severity of dystonia. Voxel-based morphometry studies in patients with focal hand dystonia have shown an increase in gray matter in the primary sensory cortex. Recent studies have further shown patients with sensory abnormalities and decrease in gray matter in the sensorimotor cortex further indicating that the primary sensory deficit may be the causative factor for dystonia. Thus there are abnormalities documented in the sensory and motor control in patients with writer’s cramp.63

Clinical Features
Occasional patients may report a history of trauma or strain to the affected limb.12,35 Most patients initially complain of feelings of tension in the fingers or forearms that interfere with the fluency of writing; a minority may also experience pain. Then the pen is held forcefully with abnormal excessive contraction (dystonia) of the hand and/or forearm muscles, causing different patterns of deviation from the normal or premorbid pen grip and hand posture. Writing may begin normally with dystonic posturing occurring after a few alphabets or words; In some patient develops dystonia of hand even before commencement of writing, as soon as they reach up to pick the pen. A common pattern of writer’s cramp involves excessive flexion of the thumb and index finger, with pronation of the hand and ulnar deviation of the wrist.

Other patients may have abnormal activation of wrist flexors, with supination of the hand and flexion of the wrist. Individual patients may experience involuntary lifting off of the index or thumb from the pen or isolated extension of other fingers as well. When dystonic cramps affect up to three fingers only, Cohen and Hallett have suggested the term of ‘localized’ (Vs non-localized) writer’s cramp. The forearm muscles most often involved in writer’s cramp are the flexor carpi ulnaris and radialis, flexor digitorum superficialis, flexor pollicis longus, and extensor digitorum communis muscles.12,35,36 Up to 50% of patients with writer’s cramp may also show upper limb tremor. Although sensations of strain and aching in dystonic forearm muscles are common in writer’s cramp, pain – unlike in cervical dystonia is rarely a prominent feature, presumably due to the task-specific and intermittent nature of the disorder where the build-up of pain would normally stop individuals from performing the task.

In patients with simple writer’s cramp, no other abnormal signs are evident except for postural tremor of the outstretched hand in 50% of cases. In progressive and dystonic writer’s cramp, dystonic posturing of the outstretched arms is also present. Subtle loss of associated arm swing of the affected arm when walking occurs in 20-30% of patients. Many patients also demonstrate mirror dystonia, which is defined as abnormal posturing and involuntary movements of the resting dominant hand while writing with the non-dominant hand. Various studies have demonstrated incidence ranging from 44% to 70% in patients with writer’s cramp has been documented and may be useful on characterizing the muscles involved.

The intensity of dystonic movements is influenced by various conditions with voluntary motor activity-walking, talking etc, stress and fatigue exacerbating it. As is common with other focal dystonia sensory tricks (geste antagonistique) such as holding the arm against the table, rest and sleep decrease dystonia.

Diagnosis
The diagnosis of writer’s cramp is based on clinical history and the appearance of dystonia on writing. There are no tests to confirm the diagnosis of writer’s cramp. Further testing with nerve conduction studies and electromyography may be done to evaluate for underlying neuropathy and to identify which muscles are involved and to what extent.

Differential diagnosis
The hand may be involved in various other disorders and a clear history and detailed neurological examination will help in differentiating it from various other disorders.

1. Pain in the hand: Carpal tunnel syndrome secondary to median nerve compression and musculoskeletal problems like arthritis, tendon injuries and muscle cramps can all cause pain in the hand but does not cause dystonia,

2. Primary writing tremor is usually misdiagnosed as writer’s cramp. It is a large amplitude tremor occurring during writing only. However it is not associated with dystonia or pain and in contrast to essential tremor, action and postural tremors are not seen.

3. Generalized dystonia especially idiopathic generalized dystonia may manifest initially as writer’s cramp. Search for other co-existing dystonia apart from hand dystonia helps in diagnosis.

4. Writing abnormalities may be the initial features to be noted in patients with secondary dystonia like Wilson’s disease and Parkinson’s disease. Presence of other features like micrographia, bradykinesia and postural instability in Parkinson’s disease, KF ring in the cornea, dystonic smile, behavioral disturbances and rubral tremor consisting of tremor occurring during posture, action and intention in Wilson’s disease helps in distinguishing these syndromes.

5. Repetitive strain injury refers to the various symptoms occurring during prolonged use of keyboard resulting in pain in the hand, write and shoulder and is becoming more common nowadays. However they are more musculoskeletal disorders and does not manifest as dystonia.2,8
**Assessment of dystonia**

Dystonia in writer’s cramp is assessed while the patient performs the following tasks and is rated as per the writer’s cramp rating scale (WCRS):

1. Writing of a test paragraph dictated to them.
2. Writing of 2 lines of eeeeeeeeeeeeee
3. Drawing of 2 spirals
4. Drawing of 2 straight lines.

**Table 3: Writer’s cramp rating scale (WCRS)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Writing movement score</th>
<th>Pathological Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Dystonic Score</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dystonic posture elbow score (ES) (0-2)</td>
<td>Pathological extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological flexion</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Marked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist score (WRS) (0-4)</td>
<td>Pathological extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological flexion</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Marked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological ulnar abduction</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Marked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger score (FS) (0-6)</td>
<td>Pathological extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Marked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger II</td>
<td>Pathological flexion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Marked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger III</td>
<td>Pathological flexion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Marked</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Latency of dystonia (L)

| At least 3 letters possible | 1 |
| At the onset of writing | 2 |

3. Writing Tremor (WT)

| No writing tremor | 0 |
| Moderate writing tremor | 1 |
| Marked writing tremor | 2 |

WCRS sub-score = (ES + WRS + FS) x L + WT x 2

**Treatment**

1. Approximately 5% of patients have spontaneous remission, most probably in the first 5 years. However, the majority of these patients have relapses.
2. Treatment with oral medication is generally disappointing. Since cortical inhibition is deficient, medication which facilitate gabergic transmission like clonazepam and baclofen have shown some benefit.
3. Slow rates of repetitive TMS (1Hz) to the primary motor cortex, that increased cortical inhibition, may be helpful.
4. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) delivered to the forearm flexor muscles for a 2-week period has been found to improve symptoms for up to 3 weeks after treatment.
5. Behavioral changes may help.
6. Motor training has been tried to use the mechanism of plasticity to counteract dystonia. Sensorimotor retuning has been tried initially in piano players and similarly in patients with writer’s cramp. Patients were asked to practice writing with individual fingers with specialized finger pens while the other fingers were splinted. Some improvement in the dystonia was found but was comparatively less than that found in piano players.
7. Another technique that might utilize mechanisms of plasticity is immobilization. The arm and hand were immobilized in a cast for about 4 weeks; following which the arm and fingers were slowly “re-trained” to move again. This may result in dedifferentiation of the sensorimotor cortex during the immobilization and an eradication of the abnormal dystonic patterns.
8. As dystonia may be secondary to abnormal sensory function, sensory training has been tried and has improved sensory discrimination and improved motor function in some patients. Sensory training was accomplished by training each individual finger to read Braille.
9. Another therapeutic approach arising from the idea of sensory dysfunction is muscle afferent block. Injection of dilute lidocaine into muscle also was found to improve focal hand dystonia transiently. For all of the rehabilitative type therapies, most studies have been short term and there are no reports of lasting benefit. In the sensory training studies, participants were followed after they stopped training both the improvement in sensory discrimination and motor performance reverted to the baseline abnormal state. If there is abnormal homeostatic plasticity, there would be a drive to the abnormal state again.

**Botulinum Toxin**

Injection with botulinum toxin in the duystonic muscles of writer’s cramp is the most effective treatment available today.

Botulinum toxin is a protein produced by the bacterium Clostridium botulinum. It is the most toxic protein known with an LD50 of roughly 0.005-0.05 µg/kg. It was noted in 1950s that injecting overactive muscles with minute quantities of botulinum toxin type-A would cause a decreased muscle activity by blocking the release of acetylcholine at the
neuromuscular junction, thereby rendering the muscle unable to contract for a period of 3 to 4 months.

Alan Scott, a San Francisco ophthalmologist, first applied tiny doses of the toxin as a medicine to treat squint and a focal dystonia of the eye — blepharospasm. Since then botulinum toxin injections have been used in various disorders including dystonia and writer’s cramp. There are seven serologically distinct toxin types, designated A through G; 3 subtypes of A have been described. The toxin is a two-chain polypeptide with a 100-kDa heavy chain joined by a disulfide bond to a 50-kDa light chain.

Type A, B and F, have been used for medical purposes and are currently marketed.

Mechanism of action: Following the attachment of the toxin heavy chain to proteins on the surface of axon terminals, the light chain is taken into the cell by endocytosis and is able to cleave endocytotic vesicles and reach the cytoplasm. This light chain is an enzyme (a protease) that attacks one of the fusion proteins (SNAP-25, syntaxin or synaptobrevin) at a neuromuscular junction. These fusion proteins are required for anchoring the acetylcholine vesicles at the neuromuscular junction. By inhibiting acetylcholine release, the toxin interferes with transmission of nerve impulses to the muscles and causes paralysis of muscles.
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