Surgical single visit apexification with biodentine and single visit root canal treatment - A case report
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Abstract
Traumatic injuries are very common in both adolescence and in adults. Traumatic injuries of the permanent teeth often results in crown fracture with or without associated fracture of root. Inflammatory periapical lesion should be initially treated with conservative nonsurgical procedures.(Lin et al).

A 23 year old male patient reported to the department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics of AICRS with the chief complaint of broken tooth and pain in upper front teeth region. Non surgical single visit endodontic treatment done in 11 and 12. Single visit apexification in 21 with the help of Bio-dentine had also been done on same visit. In this clinical study, Bio-dentine showed promising result when used for apexification of non-vital immature tooth with open apex. Single visit endodontics proved to be effective for the management of periapical lesion.
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Clinical Examination

Table 1: Clinical Examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth Number</th>
<th>Presence &amp; Type of Fracture</th>
<th>Tender on Percussion</th>
<th>Soft Tissue Palpation</th>
<th>Peridical Tissue Profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ellis Class 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NAD</td>
<td>Grade II mobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Ellis Class 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NAD</td>
<td>NAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ellis Class 3 with mobile palatal fragment</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NAD</td>
<td>NAD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Radiographical examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth Number</th>
<th>Loss of enamel and dentin</th>
<th>Exposure of pulp</th>
<th>Periapical radiolucency</th>
<th>Open apex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Approximately 6mm (Longest diameter)</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Approximately 7mm (Longest diameter)</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Clinical follow up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visit Frequency</th>
<th>Tooth Number</th>
<th>Palpation</th>
<th>Percussion</th>
<th>Periodontal Tissue Profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>11, 21, 12</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>11, 21, 12</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 month</td>
<td>11, 21, 12</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Radiographical follow-up after 15 days, 1 month, 2 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of radiolucency</th>
<th>Calcific barrier formation</th>
<th>Appearance of bony trabeculae</th>
<th>Width of periodontal ligament space</th>
<th>Breakdown of lamina dura</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in size 1mm</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diagnosis and Treatment Plan

Diagnosis

Treatment Plan
1. Removal of mobile palatal fragment of 12.
2. Splinting to be done with stainless steel wire (16 gauge) and composite resin from canine to canine region of maxillary anterior teeth.
4. Single visit apexification in 21 with the help of Biodentine.

Methodology

Fig. 3: Pre-operative intra-oral picture

Fig. 4: Fracture fragment
Fig. 5: Splinting done from canine to canine region under rubber-dam isolation

Access cavity preparation was done in 11 and 12 under rubber-dam isolation. Working length was determined with the help of apex-locator and was confirmed by intra-oral periapical radiograph.

Canal shaping was done by crown-down technique.
2 ml of 3% Sodium hypochlorite as irrigant was used after each instrumentation.

After master-cone selection, 4ml of 17% EDTA was used, followed by 6 ml of Normal saline.

Final irrigation was done with 4ml of 2% chlorhexidine.

Canal was dried using sterile paper points.

AH-26 sealer and gutta-percha was used for obturation with lateral compaction technique.

Access cavity restoration was done with Glass ionomer cement. (Root canal irrigants, Kandaswamy and NagendraVenkateshbabu, J Conserv Dent 2010;13(4):256–64.

Access cavity preparation was done in 21 under rubber-dam isolation.

Canal cleaning and shaping was done with crown-down technique.

A 4 mm diameter of absorbable gelatine sponge (Abgel) was inserted in the canal with the help of pluggers to serve as an apical barrier.4

Biodentine (Septodont, St. Maurdes-Fossés, France) was mixed to a paste like consistency in a triturator and delivered to the canal using amalgam carrier and condensed with Schilder’s pluggers.

A post operative radiograph was taken and the access cavity was closed with Glass ionomer cement.

Discussion

The goal of apexification is to obtain an apical barrier to prevent the passage of toxins and bacteria into periapical tissues from the root canal.

Single visit Apexification with Biodentine requires significantly less time, superior biocompatibility and sealing ability and is less cytotoxic than other materials currently being used in pulpal therapy.8
Kokate and Pawar conducted a study that compared the microleakage of glass ionomer cement, MTA, and Biodentine™ when used as a retrograde filling material and concluded that Biodentine™ exhibited the least microleakage when compared to other materials used. The only limitation of Biodentine is that it cannot be used in the presence of moisture.\(^7\)

In the present case, the use of Abgel as apical matrix not only served in limiting the Biodentine to root canal but also provided an isolated environment for setting of Biodentine.

Periapical pathology is a host defence mechanism to micro-organisms present in the root canal and endodontic treatment attempts to eradicate the micro-organisms from the root canal system and thus promoting periapical healing.\(^10\)

In recent years, single-appointment endodontics has gained increased acceptance.

The scientific basis for the single visit endodontics is that the residual micro-organisms are rendered harmless by entombing them by complete obturation immediately after cleaning and shaping the root canal space in the same visit.

Guttman et al. (2004), in a clinical study, found that single visit RCT was as successful as multiple visit RCT in teeth with vital as well as in teeth with non-vital pulp associated with or without periapical radiolucencies.

The advantages of single visit endodontic include reduction in time and cost of treatment and prevention of inter-appointment flare ups and as well as low incidence of postoperative pain when compared to multiple visit treatment.\(^11\)

This case report emphasizes the novel approach of using Biodentine to achieve single visit apexification of the cases with an open apex followed by periapical lesion and also the prognosis of single visit endodontic treatment of teeth associated with periapical lesion.

**Conclusion**

In this clinical study, Biodentine showed promising result when used for apexification of non-vital immature tooth with open apex. Single visit endodontics proved to be effective for the management of periapical lesion.
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