A comparative study to assess the cognitive and moral development of school age children among joint versus nuclear family in selected rural area of Bijapur district
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The fundamental unit of any society is the family. The family is usually the major source of the basic necessities of life and health, love and tenderness, adequate food, clean water, a place and time for rest, clothing and sanitation. Family is one of the major factors which influence the children development. A comparative study was done to assess the cognitive and moral development of school age children among joint versus nuclear family in selected rural area of Bijapur district.

The objectives of the study were

1. To assess the level of cognitive development among school age children belongs to joint and nuclear family as measured by structured questionnaire. 2. To assess the level of moral development among school age children belongs to joint and nuclear family as measured by structured questionnaire. 3. To compare the level of cognitive development among school age children belongs to joint and nuclear family. 4. To compare the level of moral development among school age children belongs to joint and nuclear family. 5. To find out the association between cognitive and moral development of children belongs to joint and nuclear family with selected demographic variables.

Null hypothesis: There is no significant difference in the cognitive and moral development among school age children of joint and nuclear family.

Non probability convenient sampling technique was used to obtain a sample from children belongs to the joint and nuclear family. Structured questionnaire was used to assess cognitive and moral development of children. Majority of them 68% from joint family and 76% from nuclear family had excellent level of cognitive development. Study findings also reveals that most of children belongs to joint and nuclear family (76% & 78% respectively) exhibit excellent level of moral development. Z test was used for comparison of cognitive and moral development of school age children among joint and nuclear family. Finding shown that (CD score -1.39 & MD score -0.25) there is significance difference in joint and nuclear family in cognitive and moral development aspect.

The present study finding shows that there is influence of the family on cognitive and moral development of school age children.
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1 Introduction

“The family is school of duties –founded on love” - Felix Adler

Family is where our roots take hold and from there we grow. A sense of belonging is derived from the strong bond of family. Family bonds are a link to our beginning and a guide to our future. We are molded within a unit, which prepares us for what we will experience in the world and how we react to those experiences. Values are taught at an
early age and are carried with us throughout our life. Family bonds help to instill trust and hope in the world around us and belief in ourselves. Rituals of bedtime stories, hugs, holidays and daily meals shared together, provide a sense of warmth, structure and safety. These rituals and traditions, not only create memories and leave a family legacy, but create our first path in life.1

A nuclear family can be defined as a household consisting of two married, heterosexual parents and their legal children (siblings). So nuclear family consisting of a father and mother and their children, who share living quarters. Nuclear families can have any number of children. Joint family can be defined as members of a uni-lineal descent group (a group in which descent through either the female or the male line is emphasized) live together with their spouses and offspring in one homestead and under the authority of one of the members. Joint family is an extended form of a nuclear family. It is composed of parents, their children, and the children’s spouses and offspring in one household.

Children between 6 and 12 years of age will have widened social horizons beyond the confines of their own home. Within the family, school children continue to learn those values and competencies they will bring into the adult world. Their continued family achievement depends on a variety of family factors, including parental expectation, stimulation and guidance.2

The school age period is usually the first time that children are making truly independent judgment.3 Here family play a vital role during development of each school age child, much of what the child know at this age has been learnt through the family circle. Parents and family members have responsibility to teach and train every child. The home and family is first training school for development especially cognitive and moral development. Parent and family members are the first teachers.

In recent decades traditional form of the family has undergone major changes, with increasing rates of divorce leading to single-parent families, remarriages, resulting in extended families and broken families. These trends and the resulting consequences that may have effect on growth and development of children especially cognitive and moral development.

In this contest some family factors may have impact on development [cognitive and moral] of school age children an examination or study of the family and its function must be incorporated into assessment.4

The family size and composition directly influences the child development.4 Parenting practices differ between small and large families.4 Growth and development of children occurs as a result of their cultural and hereditary backgrounds of family.

A child behavioral pattern to a large extent is the product of the environment in which he or she lives. During this period the family, school and community help in shaping his or her character, molding the personality and laying foundation for his or her future. If the family, school and community do their work properly, his or her chances for a successful and happy life are greatly increased.

There are many factors which influences the growth and development. These factors are classified into two 1. Forces of nature 2. External forces. External forces are so many factors influencing directly on development of health. Among these, family is one of the most important factors which directly have effect on the development of children.

2.1. Research statement

A comparative study was done to assess the cognitive and moral development of school age children among joint versus nuclear family in selected rural area of Bijapur district.

2.2. The objectives of the study were

1. To assess the level of cognitive development among school age children belongs to joint and nuclear family as measured by structured questionnaire.
2. To assess the level of moral development among school age children belongs to joint and nuclear family as measured by structured questionnaire
3. To compare the level of cognitive development among school age children belongs to joint and nuclear family.
4. To compare the level of moral development among school age children belongs to joint and nuclear family.
5. To find out the association between cognitive and moral development of children belongs to joint and nuclear family with selected demographic variables.

2.3. Assumptions

1. Family is one important factor affecting the growth and development of school age children especially cognitive and moral development.
2. Both types of family that is joint and nuclear family may have influences on growth and development of children.
3. Children may not give free and frank responses
3. Review of Literature

There is a significant association between moral development of children with educational status of child. Other than that all were non-significant.

“Literature review is a critical summary of research on a topic of interest often prepared to put a research problem in context or as the basic for an implementation project.  

Review of literature refers to an extensive, exhaustive and systemic examination of publication of relevant to the research project.

3.1. The literatures collected for the present study were classified into two parts.

1. Literatures related to cognitive and moral development
2. Literatures related to impact of nuclear and joint family on children development.

3.2. Methodology

1. Research approach: Quantitative Research Approach
2. Research Design: Descriptive Research Design

3.3. Variables

1. Research variable: Cognitive and moral development
2. Demographic variables: Age, sex, educational status of child, type of family, income of family, no of siblings, educational status of father and mother, occupation of father and mother.

3.4. Setting

Tikota PHC, Bijapur district, Karnataka

3.5. Population

The population under study includes the School age children of selected rural area of Bijapur district.

3.6. Sample

UG students studying in CHARUSAT University

3.7. Sample size

Sample consisted 100 school age children between 8-10 years of age group belongs to joint family and nuclear family

3.8. Sampling technique

Non-Probability Convenient Sampling Technique

3.9. Procedure of data collection

The investigator obtained written permission from the PHC at Tikota. The data was collected for the main study from 20 Sep- 20 Nov 2012. The test was conducted using questionnaire. The time was taken for test was one hour for each sample. The data was collected from 50 samples each from children of joint and nuclear family.

3.10. Plan for data analysis

The data obtained was planned to be analysed using descriptive and inferential statistics on the basis of objectives and hypotheses of study.

Data related to the sample characteristics would be analyzed using frequency and percentage.

1. Data related to cognitive and moral development of school age children, age between 8-10 years of age group. After data collection the data will be calculated by frequency, percentage. Z test for comparison
between the cognitive and moral development of children belongs to joint and nuclear families in rural areas. The association of demographic variables with cognitive and moral development will be done by chi-square test.14–17

3.11. Finding of study

3.11.1. Demographic profile

1. **Age:** Is represents the frequency distribution of study subjects by age group of the child. Among 50 sample from joint family, half of them that is 25 (50%) were from 10 years of age group, 15 (30%) were from 9 years, 10 (20%) were from 8 years. Among 50 sample from nuclear family majority of them that is 36 (64%) were from 10 years of age group, 13 (26%) were from 9 years, 05 (10%) were from 8 years. Among 50 samples of nuclear family, majority of the fathers of school age children that is 18 (36%) were daily wage, 13 (26%) were doing family, majority of the fathers of school age children (10%) are professionals. Among 50 samples of nuclear family majority of them that is 36 (64%) were from 10 years of age group, 13 (26%) were from 9 years, 05 (10%) were from 8 years.18,19

2. **Gender:** Among 50 samples from joint family, majority of them that is 31 (62%) were female children, 19 (38%) were male. Among 50 samples of nuclear family, majority of them that is 26 (52%) were male, 24 (48%) were female children.20,21

3. **Educational status of child:** Among 50 samples from joint family, majority of them that is 19 (38%) were 3rd std, 15 (30%) were 4th std, 16 (32%) were 5th std. Among 50 children belongs to nuclear family, majority of them that is 20 (40%) were 3rd std, 16 (32%) were 4th std, 14 (28%) were 5th std.22,23

4. **Number of siblings:** Among 50 sample from joint family, majority of them that is 20 (40%) were have two siblings, 16 (32%) were having one siblings, 12 (24%) were having three or four siblings. 03 (06%) did not have siblings. Among 50 sample from nuclear family, majority of them that is 21 (42%) were having two siblings, 20 (40%) were having two siblings, 8 (16%) were having three or four siblings, 01 (02%) did not have siblings.24–26

5. **Educational status of father:** Among 50 samples of joint family, majority of the school age children that is 16 (32%) were having primary level of education. 10 (20%) of fathers were illiterates, 09 (18%) of fathers had high school level of education, 08 (16%) of fathers had PUC level of education, 07 (14%) had completed graduation. Among 50 samples of nuclear family, majority of them that is 15 (30%) were having primary and PUC level of education. 09 (18%) of fathers were illiterates, 06 (12%) of fathers had high school level of education, 05 (10%) have completed graduation.27–29

6. **Occupation of father:** Among 50 samples of joint family, majority of the fathers of school age children that is 21 (42%) were daily wage, 14 (28%) were non-professionals, 10 (20%) were doing business, 05 (10%) are professionals. Among 50 samples of nuclear family, majority of the fathers of school age children that is 18 (36%) were daily wage, 13 (26%) were doing business, 10 (20%) were professionals, 06 (12%) were non-professionals.30–32

7. **Educational status of mother:** Among 50 samples of joint family, majority of the mothers of school age children that is 20 (40%) were having primary level of education. 15 (30%) of mother were had high school level of education, 10 (20%) of mothers were illiterates, 03 (06%) of mothers had PUC level of education, 02 (04%) had completed graduation. Among 50 samples of nuclear family, majority of the mothers of school age children that is 20 (40%) were having primary level of education. 15 (30%) of mothers.

8. **Occupation of mother:** majority of the mothers 34 (78%) were house wife, 09 (18%) were daily wage worker and 02 (04%) are professionals. Among 50 samples of nuclear family, majority of the mothers that is 44 (88%) were house wife, 04 (08%) were daily wage worker, 02 (04%) were doing business and 02 (04%) were professionals.33,34

9. **Number of family members:** majority of children that is 39 (78%) had four or more family members, 8 (16%) had four family members, 3 (6%) were having family members in their family. Among 50 sample from nuclear family, majority of them that is 30 (60%) four or more family members. 17 (34%) four number family members, 03 (06%) three family members in their family.35–37

10. **Monthly income of family :** majority of them that is 32 (64%) were having Rs 4001 and above of family income. 10 (20%) were between Rs 3001-4000/- of family income, 06 (12%) were below Rs 2000/-. 02 (04%) were between Rs 2001-3000/- of family income. Among nuclear family majority of the children that is 32 (64%) were having Rs 4001 and above of family income. Among nuclear family majority of them that is 32 (64%) were having Rs 4001 and above of family income. 06 (12%) were between Rs 2001-3000/- of family income, 06 (12%) were between Rs 2001-3000/- of family income, 06 (12%) were between Rs 3001-4000/- of family income.38–40

**Inference:** Among 50 sample from joint family, majority of the children that is 34 (68%) were have excellent level of cognitive development, 13 (26%) were having good level of cognitive development, 02 (04%) were having average level of cognitive development, 01 (02%) were having below average level of cognitive development. Among 50 sample from nuclear family majorly of the children that is 38 (76%) were having excellent level of cognitive development, 11 (22%) were having good level of cognitive development, 02 (04%) were had average level of cognitive development and none were poor in cognitive development.

**Inference:** Among 50 sample from joint family, majority of the children that is 38 (76%) were have excellent level of moral development, 11 (22%) were having good level of moral development, 01 (02%) were having average level of moral development; none were poor in moral development.
Table 1: Level of Cognitive development among school age children belongs to joint and nuclear family, n=100

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Grading</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Joint family</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Nuclear family</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>19-24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>13-18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>7-12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&lt; 06</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Level of moral development among school age children belongs to joint and nuclear family, n=100

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Grading</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Joint family</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Nuclear family</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>46-60</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>31-45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>16-30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among 50 sample from nuclear family, majority of them that is 39 (78%) were having excellent level of moral development, 11 (22%) had good level of moral development, and none of them scored average and poor level in moral development.

Table 3 Reveals that there is a significant difference in the cognitive development between children of joint and nuclear family. So null hypothesis is rejected and research hypothesis is accepted.

Table 4 Reveals that there is a significant difference in the moral development between children of joint and nuclear family. So null hypothesis is rejected and research hypothesis is accepted.

Table 5 There is a significant association between cognitive development of children with age of children. Other than all were non-significant with demographic variables.

Table 6 Shows the association between cognitive development and demographic variables. There was no significant association between the demographic variables such as age, sex, Educational status of child, Number of siblings, Number of family members, Income of family, Educational status of father, Educational status of mother and cognitive development of school age children..

Table 7 Shows the association between cognitive development and demographic variables. There was no significant association between the demographic variables such as age, sex, Educational status of child, Number of siblings, Number of family members, Income of family, Educational status of father, Educational status of mother and cognitive development of school age children.

Table 8 There is a significant association between moral development of children with educational status of child. Other than that all were non-significant.

4. Conclusion

Based on analysis of the findings of study, the following inferences were drawn down.

4.1. Nursing implication

The findings of the study have implication for nursing practice, nursing education, nursing administration and nursing research.

4.2. Nursing practice

The paediatric nurses can take into consideration about the family structure and its function during the assessment of a child in the hospital.

The school health nurse should have adequate knowledge regarding the type of family and its influence on the growth and development of school age child.

4.3. Nursing education

Student nurses can be taught regarding the influence of family factors on growth and development of children, what is family centered care and its importance in paediatric nursing.

4.4. Nursing research

1. Similar study can be done in urban setting.
2. Similar study can be done with large sample size and different age group have an exploratory view of this aspect in our country.
3. A study can be done on the same topic with inclusion of academic achievement at school setting.

5. Limitation

1. Study setting was limited to only in Tikota PHC Bijapur district.
### Table 3: Comparison of the level of cognitive development among school age children belongs to joint and nuclear family. \( n=100 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of family</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Z score</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Comparison of the level of moral development among school age children belongs to joint and nuclear family: \( n=100 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of family</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Z score</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: Association between cognitive development of school age children belongs to joint family with selected demographic variables. \( n=100 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic samples</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>Table Value</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status of child</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of siblings</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of family member</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status of father</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status of mother</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational of mother</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S - Significant at 5% level, NS - Not significant at 5% level

### Table 6: Association between moral development of school age children belongs to joint family with selected demographic variables. \( n=100 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic samples</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>Table Value</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status of child</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of siblings</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of family member</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status of father</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status of mother</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational of mother</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S - Significant at 5% level, NS - Not significant at 5% level

### Table 7: Association between cognitive development of school age children belongs to nuclear family with selected demographic variables. \( n=100 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic samples</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>Table Value</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status of child</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of siblings</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of family member</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status of father</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status of mother</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational of mother</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S - Significant at 5% level, NS - Not significant at 5% level
Table 8: Association between moral development of school age children belongs to nuclear family with selected demographic variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic samples</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>Table Value</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status of child</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of siblings</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of family member</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status of father</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status of mother</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational of mother</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S - Significant at 5% level, NS - Not significant at 5% level

2. Lack of random sampling technique hinders the generalizations of results.
3. A structured knowledge questionnaire was used for data collection, which restricts the amount of interaction motion that can be obtained from the respondents.

6. Recommendations

1. The study can be conducted in other setting.
2. The study can be conducted on parental factors influencing on developmental aspect of different age group.
3. The study can be done including other aspect of growth and development like social, psychological and behavioural.
4. The study can be done with large sample size so that the results can be generalized.
5. A similar study can be conducted in urban families.

7. Conclusion based on the study findings

The following conclusions can be drawing based on study findings:

1. Family places an important healthy growth and development of children.
2. Type of family has influence on the growth and development of the children in general and cognitive and moral development in particular.
3. The family factors such as type, socio-economic status, siblings etc should be considered while planning a care for paediatric client.
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