Case Report

Complex odontome in posterior maxilla: A rare occurrence
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ABSTRACT

Odontomas are the most common benign tumor of jaw constituting 22% of all odontogenic tumors. They are categorised under the benign calcified odontogenic tumors. It is considered to be hamartoma, that is, tumor like malformations. Odontomas are usually small resembling the size of the tooth. Large odontomas are rare. Complex odontomas are usually located in the posterior region of the mandible. In this case, complex odontoma was large with the size of approx. 5 cm and located in the posterior maxilla. The sole management depends upon the early diagnosis, histopathological examination and excision of these tissues.
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1. Introduction

A 40 years old female patient complained of swelling of face over the cheek region on the right side since 2 months and pain in right upper back jaw region since 1 month. The swelling was insidious in onset, slowly progressing in size, was not associated with any other signs and symptoms. No history of epistaxis, nasal obstruction, headache or visual disturbance was reported. No history of trauma was revealed. Pain was insidious in onset, intermittent, moderate, dull aching type, aggravates on mastication and at night, temporarily relieved on taking over-the-counter medications, radiating to right ear. No history of trauma was revealed. Pain was insidious in onset, intermittent, moderate, dull aching type, aggravates on mastication and at night, temporarily relieved on taking over-the-counter medications, radiating to right ear. No history of previous episode of similar pain. Patient reported associated sensitivity in teeth in that region on drinking water. No history of any discharge intra-orally from that region. Her medical history and past dental history were non-significant. She has mixed diet, brushes her teeth once daily with toothbrush and toothpaste and has no abusive habits history.

On general physical examination, she was moderately built, well oriented to time, place and person with no signs of pallor, icterus, cyanosis, clubbing and organomegaly. On extra-oral examination, a solitary swelling was noted over the right side of her face involving the middle third (Figure 2). It was roughly oval in shape, measuring approx. 5cm in diameter, extending superio-inferiorly from 2cm below infra-orbital margin till the level of corner of the mouth and mesio-distally from ala of the nose till the level of outer canthus of the eye. The borders were diffuse. Skin over it was normal in color as the surrounding skin. Surface was smooth. On palpation, all the inscpecory findings were confirmed. The swelling presented with no local rise in temp, was bony hard in consistency, non-tender and skin over it was pinchable. It was non-mobile. Bilateral submandibular lymph nodes were palpable. They were solitary, roughly oval in shape, approx. 1cm in diameter, firm, mobile and tender. On intra-oral examination, the labial mucosa and buccal mucosa were apparently normal (Figure 3). Solitary swelling was seen involving the right side of the palate (Figure 4). It was roughly oval in shape, measuring approx. 4-5 cm in greatest diameter, extending antero-posteriorly from the level of 15 till maxillary tuberosity and mesio-distally from the palatal gingiva rt 15, 16, 17 and distal to it till approx 2cm short of the midline. The borders were well-defined. The mucosa over it was
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pink in color. The surface was smooth. On palpation, all
inspectory findings were confirmed. It was bony hard in
consistency and non-tender. Vestibular obliteration irt 17
and distal to it was noted. Buccal cortical plate expansion
was present irt 17 and distal to it (Figure 3). The swelling
was bony hard on palpation. No mobility present irt 15, 16
and 17.

On the basis of history and examination, provisional
diagnosis of Benign Odontogenic Tumor Involving Right
Posterior Maxilla was given. The differentials were listed
as:

1.1. Benign odontogenic tumors

1. Odontoma.
2. Ameloblastoma.
3. Calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumor (CEOT).

1.2. Benign non-odontogenic tumors:

1. Central ossifying fibroma.

1.3. Investigations

OGP (Figure 5) revealed solitary radiopaque lesion
roughly oval in shape, measuring approx. 5 cm in diameter,
located in the right posterior maxilla. It had well defined
borders and was surrounded by a radiolucent rim with a
sclerotic border. The internal structure is homogeneously
radiopaque. It was covering the root of 17.

IOPA irt 18 (Figure 6) reveals solitary radiopaque lesion
roughly oval in shape, measuring approx. 5 cm in diameter,
located in the right posterior maxilla. It had well defined
borders and was surrounded by a radiolucent rim. The
internal structure is homogeneously radiopaque. It was
covering the root of 17.

Hence, the final diagnosis was given as Complex
Composite Odontomeirt impacted 18.
2. Discussion

Odontomes are mixed odontogenic tumors because they have both epithelial and ectomesenchymal components. Both these components are morphologically normal but have defect in their structural arrangement. Hence called as Hamartomas or malformations rather than true neoplasms.\(^1\) The term “odontome” was first coined by Broca in 1866. He defined it as “growth in which both the epithelial and connective tissue components exhibit complete differentiation, with the result that functional ameloblasts and odontoblasts form enamel and dentin”. Still lesion takes place because dental components are laid down in a disorganized manner, due to failure of normal morpho-differentiation.\(^2\) WHO classifies odontomas as a benign odontogenic tumor composed of odontogenic epithelium and odontogenic ecto-mesenchyme with dental hard tissue formation.\(^3\)

2.1. Classification

In 1914, Gabell, James, and Payne grouped odontome according to their developmental origin:

1. Epithelial,
2. Composite (epithelial and mesodermal), and
3. Connective tissue.\(^4\)

In 1946, by Thoma and Goldman:

1. Geminated composite odontomes.
2. Compound composite odontomes.
3. Complex composite odontomes.
4. Dilated odontomes.
5. Cystic odontomes.\(^5\)

Classification by H.M. Worth:

1. Ectodermal origin (Enameloma),
2. Mesodermal origin (dentinoma, cementoma),
3. Mixed ectodermal and mesodermal origin (complex composite odontome, compound composite odontome, geminated odontome, dilated odontome, including dens in dente). 6

WHO classification:

1. Complex odontome.
2. Compound odontome.
3. Ameloblastic fibro-odontome.

New type known as hybrid odontome, is also reported by some authors. 7

2.2. Compound odontome

1. Malformations in which all dental tissues are represented in a more orderly pattern, so that the lesion consists of many tooth like structures or denticles composed of enamel, dentin, cementum and pulp.
2. Several small abnormal teeth surrounded by a fibrous sac. 4
3. Compound odontome as classified by Gravey et al.
   a. Denticulo type.
   b. Particulate type.
   c. Denticulo-particulate type. 8

2.3. Complex odontoma

WHO defines it as: “A malformation in which all the dental tissues are represented, individual tissues being mainly well formed but occurring in a more or less disorderly pattern”. 9

2.4. Epidemiology

1. Odontomas are common odontogenic tumors.
2. Odontomes: 22%
3. Complex odontome: 5-30%
4. Compound odontome: 9-37%. 10

2.5. Etiopathogenesis

1. Unknown etiology.
2. Various factors attributed to it are:
   a. Local causes: growth pressures because of inadequate space.
   b. Infection from the deciduous predecessor.
   c. Mature Ameloblasts.
   d. Cell Rests of Serres (Dental Lamina Remnants).
   e. Trauma.
   f. Genetic Factors.

Odontome can occur in one or more of three ways:

1. By interference with the mechanism whereby genes control tooth formation and formed.
2. By a mutation in the genes concerned.
3. By inheritance of those abnormal genes.

2.6. Hitchin proposed

Mutation in the epithelial cells of the tooth germ.

↓
Changes inherent capacity of odontogenic epithelium to go through the cap and bell stages of tooth formation, but retains ability to stimulate mesenchymal differentiation to form functional ameloblasts and odontoblasts.

↓
Formation of an odontoma. 11

2.7. Clinical features

1. Age: peak in the 2nd decade of life.
2. Sex: Male: Female ratio: 1.5:1 - 1.6:1.e.
3. Site: anterior maxilla > posterior mandible.
4. Size: varies from that of a walnut, or even larger, to a size that is only microscopically detectable. 10.
5. Asymptomatic.
6. Clinical indicators of odontoma: retention of deciduous teeth, non-eruption of permanent teeth, pain, expansion of the cortical bone and tooth displacement.
7. Other symptoms include paresthesia and swelling. 12
8. Odontomas located in the anterior maxillary region are compound, while odontomas located in the posterior mandible region are complex odontomas. 13
9. Compound odontomas seldom cause bony expansion but complex odontomes often cause slight or even marked bony expansion. 14

2.8. Syndromes associated

1. Gardner syndrome
2. Hermann syndromes
3. Familial colonic adenomatosis
4. Basal cell nevus syndrome 15
2.9. Radiologically

1. It has three stages depending on the amount of calcification.
   a. Radiolucent stage.
   b. Intermediate stage.
   c. Radiopaque stage.
2. Complex odontome appears as a radiopacity situated in the bone, having density greater than that of bone and equal or greater than that of tooth surrounded by a radiolucent halo (Figure 9).
3. Two appearances of compound odontome are (Figure 10):
   a. Cluster of small shapeless dense masses having no resemblance to a tooth in shape but equal or greater in density, depending upon the size of the mass.
   b. Presence of two or more tooth like masses having conical enamel like capped crowns and with fusion of radiolucent portions. 

![Fig. 9: Complex odontoma in fourth quadrant](image)

Fig. 9: Complex odontoma in fourth quadrant

![Fig. 10: Compound odontoma](image)

Fig. 10: Compound odontoma

![Fig. 11: Histopathological picture](image)

Fig. 11: Histopathological picture

2.10. Histopathology (Figure 11)

2. The degree of morphodifferentiation varies from lesion to lesion.
3. There is predominantly dentine of an irregular variety, cementum or cementum-like tissue in small amounts and there is an admixture of dentine with round or ovoid spaces containing pulp tissue, enamel epithelium and remnants of enamel matrix. 

2.11. Management

1. Complex odontomas can be associated with other more aggressive odontogenic lesions such as cysts and tumors.
2. Hence, once detected, it should be surgically removed.

3. Conclusion

Odontome is a common lesion to occur in the jaws. Radiopacities in the posterior maxilla can include odontome as one of the differentials considering the location, association with a missing tooth and the radiographic appearance of the lesion. Hence, as an oral physician and radio-diagnostician, we should know the clinical features and radiographic appearance of this lesion.
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