Morphometric study of glenoid cavity of scapulae in north Indian population with clinical significance
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Abstract

Introduction: Shoulder arthroplasty offers pain relief and improvement in patients of shoulder arthritis. It need proper fixation of glenoid cavity to prevent loosening, a common cause for surgery. As glenoid cavity is small, it permits only a tight spot for limited fixation devices. So, knowledge of the morphological data of various parameters of glenoid cavity is of utter importance.

Materials and Methods: An observational cross-Sectional study was done on 66 unpaired human scapulae. They belong to adult population of North India and of unknown sex. Superior-inferior diameter and Anterior-posterior diameter of maximum distance at the glenoid cavity were measured and based on these measurements index of glenoid cavity calculated.

Result and Discussion: The shape of the glenoid cavity was classified on basis of the presence or absence of a notch on the glenoid rim as pear shaped, inverted comma shaped and oval shaped. All the parameters exhibit a greater value for the right side. The ethnic and racial variations could be the explanation for difference seen between the values of present study and that of other workers. The above mentioned parameters may be taken into consideration while doing shoulder arthroplasty and designing glenoid prostheses for North Indian population.

Conclusion: Extensive and detail knowledge of various data of the glenoid cavity measurements is important for the anatomists, orthopaedicians, prosthetists, and anthropologists.
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Introduction

The scapula is a flat, large, triangular bone, which lies on the chest wall at the posterolateral aspect, extending from second to seventh ribs. The glenoid cavity which articulates with the head of the humerus at the shoulder joint (glenohumeral joint) presented at lateral angle of scapula as truncated and broad and may be considered as the head of the scapula.1 The glenoid cavity is highly variable morphologically. A notch is presents on the glenoid rim in its upper and front part.2 This glenoid notch on glenoid rim give various shapes to glenoid cavity like pear-shaped, oval or inverted comma shaped.3,4

The small, shallow glenoid cavity and the disproportionate sizes of the head of the humerus united with a lax articular capsule give this joint a wide range of movements but at the cost of its stability.5 The shoulder joint is the most frequently dislocated joint in the body. Dynamic factors of the rotator cuff muscles and the static factors of the glenohumeral ligaments, the labrum and the joint capsule play a role in glenohumeral joint stability. Alignment of the humerus and the glenoid articular surfaces is one of the predisposing factors for glenohumeral joint instability which is one of the predisposing factors for rotator cuff pathology.5,7

Dislocations may also be associated with fracture of the glenoid cavity.8 For the management of this, prostheses and arthroplasty are required. The knowledge of normal anatomical features and variations of the shape and size of glenoid cavity are prerequisites for complete understanding of the mechanics of shoulder joint. This information has clinical application in shoulder arthroplasty, gleno-humeral instability and rotator cuff tear management.

Therefore, the knowledge on shoulder joint would be complete if the dimensions of glenoid cavity are also incorporated. Despite of this knowledge not much work has been done in North Indian population. Therefore, the present study was carried out which provides important parameters which would help for better understanding and management of shoulder pathology to the anatomists, anthropologists, orthopaedicians and prosthetists.

Materials and Methods

An observational cross-Sectional study was conducted in the department of Anatomy, Rama Medical College, Hapur during September 2014-April 2015. A total of 66 dry unpaired scapula bones were studied. Of the 66 scapulae, 30 were from the right side and 36 were from the left side. The bones belonged to adult specimens but the exact gender and ages of the specimens were not known. Dry, complete and with normal anatomical features scapulae selected for study. Specimens with osteoarthritic changes, showing any previous trauma sign or skeletal disorders were rule out for the study.

All the measurements were taken with the help of a digital Vernier caliper. Three readings were taken for each parameter at different times and the average was recorded. T-test calculation was also done to establish the significance of the study. The data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Comparison of all values was tabulated with series of other workers to draw the correlation and conclusions.
Superior- Inferior Glenoid Diameter (SI)
It is described as the maximum distance from the inferior point on the glenoid margin to the most prominent point of the supra-glenoid tubercle (Fig. 1).

Anterior-Posterior Glenoid Diameter (AP)
It is described as the maximum breadth of the articular margin of the glenoid cavity perpendicular to the glenoid cavity height (Fig. 2).

Glenoid Cavity Index (GCI)
It was calculated from the observed values of Anterior-Posterior Glenoid Diameter (AP) and Superior- Inferior Glenoid Diameter (SI) of the glenoid cavity. The formula for calculating the GCI is AP/ SI x 100.

Shape of the Glenoid Cavity
It was recorded whether the shape was inverted comma shaped, oval or pear shaped. (Fig. 3-5)

Results and Discussion
The measurements of the glenoid cavity were taken in 66 scapulae shown in Table 1. The shape of the glenoid cavity was observed as shown in table 2. (Fig. 4,5)

After taking the measurements of the glenoid cavity, the observations of the present study tabulated were compared with the results of the other authors. (Table 1-3). In the present study the average superior-inferior (SI) diameter of the right glenoid was 33.71 ± 3.26 mm and the average superior- inferior diameter of the left glenoid was 33.33 ± 2.77 mm. Though the right glenoid value was slightly more than the left, it was not statistically significant. As the sex of scapulae was not known to us, we could not measure male and female scapulae separately.

In the present study the average anterior- posterior (AP) diameter of the right glenoid was 24.19 ± 2.56 mm while that of the left glenoid was 23.11 ± 2.31 mm. The left glenoid cavity was found to be narrower than the right side. The combined average of both sides came out to be 23.70 ± 2.54 mm. The combined mean of the Glenoid Cavity Index (GCI) in the present study came out to be 70.55 ± 4.22. Polguj et al.9 noted the combined GCI to be 72.35 ± 5.55, which was higher than that recorded by us.

Various shapes of glenoid cavity were noted and recorded in present study along with their percentages of incidence depending upon the presence or absence of a notch on the glenoid rim. 66.6% of the right and 38.8% of left glenoids were inverted comma shaped, 20% on the right side and 44.4% on the left side were pear shaped and, 13.3% on the right side and 16.7% on the left side were oval without any recognizable notch. This suggests that there was no significant difference in the presence of notch on the right and left side. Prescher and Klumen4 noted that 55% of the scapulae had a notch and in 45% the notch was absent. Coskun et al.10 studied 90 scapulae and found that in 72% of the specimens, the glenoid notches of the scapulae were absent or oval shaped whereas in 28% the notch was well expressed and the glenoid cavity was pear shaped.

Glenohumeral instability in young individuals and athletes and rotator cuff pathology in the elderly was common causes of shoulder pain. Studies have shown that when the glenoid notch is distinct, the glenoid labrum is often not attached to the rim of the glenoid at the site of the notch.4 This can be a predisposing factor in anterior dislocation of shoulder joint. The ethnic and racial variations could be possible explanation for difference seen between the values of present study and that of other workers. Thus knowledge of the variation in the shape and dimensions of the glenoid is important in better understanding of the shoulder pathology and in designing and fitting of glenoid components for total shoulder arthroplasty. The morphometric analysis of the glenoid cavity may not only help the prosthetists and orthopaedicians but also helpful in studying about the evolution of the bipedal gait by the anthropologists.
Fig. 2: Measurement of the Anterior-Posterior (AP) Glenoid Diameter

Fig. 3: Pear shaped/Tear drop shaped

Fig. 4: Oval shaped

Fig. 5: Inverted comma shaped

Table 1: Comparison of measurements of right and left glenoid cavity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SI diameter</td>
<td>33.71 ± 3.26</td>
<td>33.33 ± 2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AP diameter</td>
<td>24.19 ± 2.56</td>
<td>23.11 ± 2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GC index</td>
<td>71.76 ± 4.08</td>
<td>69.34 ± 4.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison between the shapes of Right and Left Glenoid Cavity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Shape of Glenoid Cavity</th>
<th>Incidence of Shape</th>
<th>Right N (%)</th>
<th>Left N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Inverted Comma</td>
<td></td>
<td>20 (66.6)</td>
<td>14 (38.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oval</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (13.3)</td>
<td>6 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pear</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 (20.0)</td>
<td>16 (44.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Comparison of SI and AP diameters of Glenoid Cavity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of Specimen</th>
<th>SI Diameter</th>
<th>AP Diameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Von Schroeder et al.11</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36 ± 4</td>
<td>29 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Frutos LR12</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Male-65</td>
<td>36.08 ± 2.0</td>
<td>26.31 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female-38</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.17 ± 1.7</td>
<td>22.31 ± 1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Taser and Basaloglu13</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Male-13</td>
<td>37.1 ± 3.4</td>
<td>26.6 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female-39</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>25.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ozer et al.14</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Male-94</td>
<td>38.71 ± 2.71</td>
<td>27.33 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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